

**Degenerate Nonlinear Programming with  
Unbounded Lagrange Multiplier Sets**

**Applications to Mathematical Programs with  
Equilibrium Constraints**

**Mihai Anitescu**

## Nonlinear Program (NLP)

For  $f, g, h \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} && f(x) \\ & \text{subject to} && h_i(x) = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, r \\ & && g_j(x) \leq 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

### Inequality Constraints Only

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} && f(x) \\ & \text{subject to} && g_j(x) \leq 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

The results can be extended for equality constraints as long as  $\nabla_x h_i(x)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$  are linearly independent. Degeneracy: linearly dependent gradients of active constraints.

## KKT conditions

The active set at a feasible  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$A(x) = \{j \mid 1 \leq j \leq m, g_j(x) = 0\}$$

Stationary point of NLP : A point  $x$  for which there exists  $\lambda \geq 0$  such that

$$\nabla_x f(x) + \sum_{j \in A(x)} \lambda_j \nabla_x g_j(x) = 0$$

The Lagrangian:  $\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j g_j(x) = f(x) + \lambda^T g(x)$ .

Complementarity formulation for stationary point:

$$\emptyset \neq \mathcal{M}(x) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \lambda \geq 0, \nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = 0, g(z) \leq 0, (\lambda)^T g(z) = 0\}$$

KKT theorem: under certain constraint qualification conditions, the solution  $x^*$  of the NLP is a stationary point of the NLP.

## Mangasarian-Fromovitz Constraint Qualification

- Mangasarian Fromovitz CQ (MFCQ): The tangent cone to the feasible set  $\mathcal{T}(x^*)$  has a nonempty interior at  $x^*$  or

$$\exists p \in \mathbb{R}^n ; \text{ such that } \nabla_x g_j(x^*)^T p < 0, j \in \mathcal{A}(x^*).$$

- **MFCQ accomodates constraint degeneracy: linearly dependent active gradients.**
- MFCQ holds  $\Leftrightarrow$  The set  $\mathcal{M}(x^*)$  of the multipliers satisfying KKT is bounded.
- The critical cone:

$$\mathcal{C} = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \nabla_x g_j(x^*)^T u \leq 0, j \in \mathcal{A}(x^*), \nabla_x f(x^*)^T u \leq 0\}$$

- If MFCQ does not hold then  $\mathcal{T}(x, u) = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mid g_j(x) + \nabla_x g_j(x)^T u \leq 0, j = 1, \dots, m\}$  may be empty  $x$  arbitrarily close to  $x^*$ . **Problem for SQP!**

## Second-order optimality conditions (SOC)

Necessary SOC (Ioffe): In presence of MFCQ,  $\forall u \in \mathcal{C}(x^*)$ ,

$$\max_{\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(x^*)} u^T \mathcal{L}_{xx}(x^*, \lambda)u = \max_{\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(x^*)} u^T \nabla_{xx}^2 (f + \lambda^T g)(x^*)u \geq 0$$

Sufficient SOC: MFCQ and  $\exists \tilde{\sigma} > 0$  such that  $\forall u \in \mathcal{C}(x^*)$

$$\max_{\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(x^*)} u^T \mathcal{L}_{xx}(x^*, \lambda)u = \max_{\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(x^*)} u^T \nabla_{xx}^2 (f + \lambda^T g)(x^*)u \geq \tilde{\sigma} \|u\|^2 .$$

Sufficient SOC imply Quadratic Growth:

$$\max \{f(x) - f(x^*), g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_m(x)\} \geq \sigma \|x - x^*\|^2 > 0$$

## $L_\infty$ SQP algorithm near $x^*$

SQP: Sequential Quadratic Programming.

1. Set  $k = 0$ , choose  $x^0$ .
2. Compute  $d^k$  from

$$\begin{aligned} \text{minimize} \quad & \nabla f(x^k)^T d + \frac{1}{2} d^T d \\ & g_j(x^k) + \nabla g_j(x^k)^T d \leq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

3. Choose  $\alpha^k$  using Armijo for the nondifferentiable merit function  $\phi(x) = f(x) + c_\phi \max\{g_0(x), g_1(x), \dots, g_m(x), 0\}$ ,  $c_\phi > 0$ , and set  $x^{(k+1)} = x^k + \alpha^k d^k$ .
4. Set  $k = k + 1$  and return to Step 2.

## Main Theorem

Suppose  $x^*$  satisfies MFCQ and the Quadratic Growth Condition

$$\max \{f(x) - f(x^*), g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_m(x)\} \geq \sigma \|x - x^*\|^2 > 0$$

Then  $x^*$  is an isolated stationary point of the NLP. If  $x^0$  is sufficiently close to  $x^*$ , with  $x^k$  generated by the steepest descent algorithm with an  $L_\infty$  penalty function with sufficiently large  $c_\phi$ .

- $x^*$  is an unconstrained minimum of  $\phi(x^*)$ .
- $x^k \rightarrow x^*$  R-linearly.
- $\phi(x^k) \rightarrow \phi(x^*)$  Q-linearly.

## Unbounded Lagrange Multiplier Set Approach

### Assumptions

$\min_x f(x)$  subject to  $g_i(x) \leq 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

- The Lagrange Multiplier set is not empty (but may be unbounded).
- The quadratic growth condition holds

$$\max \{f(x) - f(x^*), g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_m(x)\} \geq \sigma \|x - x^*\|^2$$

- $f, g$  are twice continuously differentiable.



## The modified nonlinear program

$\min_{x,\zeta} f(x) + c\zeta$  subject to  $g_i(x) \leq \zeta$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $\zeta \geq 0$ .

For  $c > c_\zeta$  at  $(x^*, 0)$  we have

- The Lagrange multiplier set is nonempty and bounded (MFCQ).
- The quadratic growth condition is satisfied.
- The data of the problem are twice differentiable.

**All preceding results apply, including the linear convergence result!**

## The effect of the modification

- Lagrange Multiplier set of the original problem:  $\mathcal{M}(x^*)$ .
- Lagrange Multiplier set of the modified problem:  $\mathcal{M}^c(x^*, 0)$ .  
 $\mu^c \in \mathcal{M}^c(x^*, 0) \Rightarrow \|\mu^c\| = c$ .

- Reduced Lagrange Multiplier set.

$$\mathcal{M}_r^c(x^*) = \{\mu \in \mathbf{R}^m \mid \exists \mu_{m+1} \in \mathbf{R},$$

such that  $(\mu, \mu_{m+1}) \in \mathcal{M}^c((x^*, 0))\}$ .

- $\mathcal{M}_r^c(x^*) \subset \mathcal{M}(x^*)$ . The penalty term  $c\zeta$  has the effect of preserving only the multipliers  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(x^*)$  with  $\|\mu\|_1 \leq c$ !

## Robinson's Example

$$\begin{aligned} \min_x \quad & f(x) = (x - 1)^2 \\ \text{subject to} \quad & g_1(x) = (x - 1)^6 \sin \frac{1}{x-1} \leq 0. \\ & g_2(x) = -(x - 1)^6 \sin \frac{1}{x-1} \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the local minima are  $1 + \frac{1}{k\pi}$ , which accumulate at 1, a nonlinear optimization algorithm is likely to stop before reaching 1, no matter how close to 1 it is initialized!

## Results from the direct application of NLP

Solver Type	$ x - x^* $	Iterations	Message
LANCELOT	3.09e-12	60	Step got too small
LOQO	3.18e-01	149	Primal dual infeasible
SNOPT	3.18e-01	1	Optimal solution found
FilterSQP	3.18e-01	13	Optimal solution found
LINF	3.18e-01	13	Step got too small

Except LANCELOT, all algorithms stop at  $1 - \frac{1}{\pi}$  (penalty).

## Modified nonlinear program

For  $y = (x, \zeta)$

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{x, \zeta} \quad & f^c(y) = (x-1)^2 + \zeta \\ \text{subject to} \quad & g_1^c(y) = (x-1)^6 \sin \frac{1}{x-1} - \zeta \leq 0 \\ & g_2^c(y) = -(x-1)^6 \sin \frac{1}{x-1} - \zeta \leq 0 \\ & g_3^c(y) = -\zeta \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

All Lagrange multipliers  $\mu^*$  satisfy  $\|\mu^*\|_1 = c = 1$ .

## Results for the modified problem

Solver Type	$ x - x^* $	Iterations	Message
LANCELOT	2.18e-12	297	Step got too small
LOQO	2.9e-2	1000	Iteration limit
SNOPT	5.6e-12	10	No improvement
FilterSQP	7.45 e-13	42	Optimal solution found
LINF	0	39	Optimal solution found

Linear convergence of the merit function was observed for LINF.

## Mathematical Programs with Equilibrium

### Constraints, MPEC

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize}_x && f(x) \\ & \text{subject to} && g(x) \leq 0 \\ & && h(x) = 0 \\ & && F_{k1}(x) \geq 0 \quad k = 1 \dots K \\ & && F_{k2}(x) \geq 0 \quad k = 1 \dots K \\ & \text{Compl. constr.} && F_{k1}(x)F_{k2}(x) = 0 \quad k = 1 \dots K \end{aligned}$$

The Lagrangian:  $\mathcal{L}(x, \Gamma, \lambda, \mu) = f(x) - F(x)\Gamma + g(x)\lambda + h(x)\mu$

## NLP<sub>I</sub>

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize}_x && f(x) \\ & \text{subject to} && g(x) \leq 0 \\ & && h(x) = 0 \\ & && F_I(x) = 0 \\ & && F_{I^c}(x) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

There is at least one index set  $I$  at a solution  $x^*$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} I = I(x^*) &= \{(k, i) \in \{1 \dots K\} \times \{1, 2\} \mid F_{ki}(x^*) = 0, \\ & \text{and } \forall k \exists i : (k, i) \in I(x^*)\}. \end{aligned}$$



## Stationary Points of $\text{NLP}_I$

Assume:

- $F_{k1}(x^*) + F_{k2}(x^*) > 0, \forall k \in \{1 \dots K\}$  (strict complementarity).
- $\text{NLP}_I$  satisfies strict MFCQ at  $x = x^*$  for  $I = I(x^*)$  (MFCQ and uniqueness of multipliers).

Then (Scheel and Scholtes, 2000)

- $\exists$  unique  $\Gamma, \lambda, \mu$  such that

$$\nabla_x \mathcal{L}(x^*, \Gamma, \lambda, \mu) = 0, g(x^*) \leq 0, (\lambda)^T g(x^*) = 0$$

$$\Gamma \geq 0, F(x^*) \geq 0, F(x^*) * \Gamma = 0$$

- For every critical direction  $d$  of  $\text{NLP}_I$  we must have  $d^T \nabla_{xx}^2 L(x^*, \Gamma, \lambda, \mu) d \geq 0$ .

## Properties of the original MPEC

- If, in addition, for every nonzero critical direction  $d$  of  $NLP_I$  we have  $d^T \nabla_{xx}^2 L(x^*, \Gamma, \lambda, \mu) d > 0$ , then, for some  $\sigma > 0$ 

$$\max \{ |f(x) - f(x^*)|, \|g^+(x)\|, \|h(x)\|, \|F_I(x)\| \} \geq \sigma \|x - x^*\|^2 > 0.$$
- Since  $F_{k1}(x^*) + F_{k2}(x^*) > 0$ , then for some  $\sigma_1 > 0$ ,
$$\max \{ |f(x) - f(x^*)|, \|g^+(x)\|, \|h(x)\|, \|F^+(x)\|, |F_{11}(x)F_{12}(x)|, |F_{21}(x)F_{22}(x)|, \dots, |F_{K1}(x)F_{K2}(x)| \} \geq \sigma_1 \|x - x^*\|^2 > 0.$$
- The original MPEC formulated as a nonlinear program has a nonempty Lagrange multiplier set and satisfies the quadratic growth constraint.

## Numerical Experiments with SNOPT

The elastic mode of SNOPT implements a similar approach.

Problem	Var-Con-CC	Value	Status	Feval	Elastic
gnash14	21-13-1	-0.17904	Optimal	27	Yes
gnash15	21-13-1	-354.699	Optimal	12	None
gnash16	21-13-1	-241.441	Optimal	7	None
gnash17	21-13-1	-90.7491	Optimal	9	None
gne	16-17-10	0	Optimal	10	Yes
pack-rig1-8	89-76-1	0.721818	Optimal	15	None
pack-rig1-16	401-326-1	0.742102	Optimal	21	None
pack-rig1-32	1697-1354-1	0.751564	Optimal	19	None

## Results Obtained with Minos

Problem	Var-Con-CC	Value	Status	Feval	Infeas
gnash14	21-13-1	-0.17904	Optimal	80	0.0
gnash15	21-13-1	-354.699	Infeasible	236	7.1E0
gnash16	21-13-1	-241.441	Infeasible	272	1.0E1
gnash17	21-13-1	-90.7491	Infeasible	439	5.3E0
gne	16-17-10	0	Infeasible	259	2.6E1
pack-rig1-8	89-76-1	0.721818	Optimal	220	0.0E0
pack-rig1-16	401-326-1	0.742102	Optimal	1460	0.0E0

## Conclusions

- The Quadratic Growth condition and MFCQ imply isolated stationary points.
- Nonlinear Programs with nonempty, though possibly unbounded, Lagrange multiplier sets can be transformed in Nonlinear Programs with the same solution set that satisfy MFCQ and thus present isolated stationary points.
- Mathematical Programs with Equilibrium Constraints may create difficulties for some SQP algorithms by generating infeasible subproblems.
- Nevertheless, the use of a penalty approach (elastic mode) can accommodate these cases in an efficient manner.