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## Introduction



## Helmholtz Equation

$$
-\Delta \mathbf{u}(x, y)-k^{2} \mathbf{u}(x, y)=\mathbf{g}(x, y) \text { on } \Omega
$$

Dirichlet and/or Sommerfeld on $\partial \Omega$
finite differences or elements
$A u=f$ sparse complex symmetric
all standard solvers fail

## Complex Shifted Laplace Preconditioner

preconditioning by damping
$M:-\Delta \mathbf{u}-\left(1+\beta_{2} i\right) k^{2} \mathbf{u}$
$M$-solve using multigrid
$M^{-1} A$ favorable spectrum
standard in many applications
Erlangga e.a. 2006


## Complex Shifted Laplace Preconditioner

## Number of outer Krylov iterations

|  | Wavenumber |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grid | $k=10$ | $k=20$ | $k=30$ | $k=40$ | $k=50$ | $k=100$ |  |
| $n=32$ | 10 | 17 | 28 | 44 | 70 | 13 |  |
| $n=64$ | 10 | 17 | 28 | 36 | 45 | 173 |  |
| $n=96$ | 10 | 17 | 27 | 35 | 43 | 36 |  |
| $n=128$ | 10 | 17 | 27 | 35 | 43 | 36 |  |
| $n=160$ | 10 | 17 | 27 | 35 | 43 | 25 |  |
| $n=320$ | 10 | 17 | 27 | 35 | 42 | 80 |  |

## Complex Shifted Laplace Preconditioner

## Good News

- SLP preconditioner renders spectrum favorable to Krylov


## However ...

- eigenvalues rush to zero as $k$ increases
- outer Krylov convergence limited by near-null space


## Can deflation improve?

## Deflation using Multigrid Vectors

## Deflation perspective

- replace preconditioned system $M^{-1} A=M^{-1} b$
- by deflated preconditioned system $P^{\top} M^{-1} A=P^{\top} M^{-1} b$
- deflation vectors $Z$ and Galerkin coarse grid matrix $E=Z^{\top} A Z$
- deflation operator $P=I-A Q$ where $Q=Z E^{-1} Z^{\top}$
- P: projection (later modified to shift to 1 )
- Z: columns of the coarse to fine grid interpolation good approx to near-null space for $k h$ fixed


## Deflation using Multigrid Vectors

## Multigrid perspective

- replace smoother $I-M^{-1} A$
(M complex shifted-Laplacian)
- by smoother + coarse grid solve $(I-Q A)\left(I-M^{-1} A\right)$
$Q=Z E^{-1} Z^{\top}$ coarse grid solve
$E^{-1}$ Galerkin coarse grid Helmholtz operator
- Fourier two-grid analysis for
- 1D problem with Dirichlet bc
- uniform coarsening
- $E$ and $M$ inverted exactly


## Spectrum Deflated Preconditioned Operator



tighter clusters at low frequency
spread due to near-kernel of $E$

## Spread due to near-kernel of $E$

$$
k=100
$$



## Deflation allows much larger shifts

| $k$ | $\beta_{2}=.5$ <br> PREC/PREC+DEF | $\beta_{2}=1$ <br> PREC/PREC+DEF | $\beta_{2}=10$ <br> PREC/PREC+DEF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $7 / 3$ | $8 / 4$ | 5 |
| 20 | $10 / 5$ | $12 / 6$ | 7 |
| 40 | $16 / 8$ | $20 / 8$ | 9 |
| 80 | $23 / 8$ | $33 / 9$ | 9 |
| 160 | $36 / 13$ | $55 / 14$ | 14 |
| 320 | $61 / 19$ | $97 / 20$ | 19 |
| 640 | $108 / 33$ | $179 / 33$ | 34 |

## Deflation using Multigrid Vectors

## Multilevel Extension

- composite two-level preconditioner $P^{T} M^{-1} A=P^{T} M^{-1} b$
- deflation operator $P=I-A Q$ where $Q=Z E^{-1} Z^{\top}$
- coarse grid Helmholtz operator $E=Z^{T} A Z$
- apply idea recursively to apply $E$
- multilevel Krylov method (Erlangga-Nabben 2009)


## Convergence Outer Krylov Acceleration

Number of outer Krylov iterations with/without deflation

| Grid | $k=10$ | $k=20$ | $k=30$ | $k=40$ | $k=50$ | $k=100$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n=32$ | $5 / 10$ | $8 / 17$ | $14 / 28$ | $26 / 44$ | $42 / 70$ | $13 / 14$ |
| $n=64$ | $4 / 10$ | $6 / 17$ | $8 / 28$ | $12 / 36$ | $18 / 45$ | $173 / 163$ |
| $n=96$ | $3 / 10$ | $5 / 17$ | $7 / 27$ | $9 / 35$ | $12 / 43$ | $36 / 97$ |
| $n=128$ | $3 / 10$ | $4 / 17$ | $6 / 27$ | $7 / 35$ | $9 / 43$ | $36 / 85$ |
| $n=160$ | $3 / 10$ | $4 / 17$ | $5 / 27$ | $6 / 35$ | $8 / 43$ | $25 / 82$ |
| $n=320$ | $3 / 10$ | $4 / 17$ | $4 / 27$ | $5 / 35$ | $5 / 42$ | $10 / 80$ |

Less iterations and therefore speedup
(Sheikh, D.L., Ramos, Nabben and Vuik, accepted for JCP).

## Numerical Results

3D problem with wedge-like contrast in wavenumber using 20 grid points per wavelength

| Wave number $k$ | Solve Time |  | Iterations |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PREC | DEF+PREC | PREC | DEF+PREC |
| 5 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 9 | 11 |
| 10 | 1.07 | 1.94 | 15 | 12 |
| 20 | 16.70 | 18.89 | 32 | 16 |
| 30 | 73.82 | 78.04 | 43 | 21 |
| 40 | 1304.2 | 214.7 | 331 | 24 |
| 60 | $x x$ | 989.5 | $x x$ | 34 |

speedup in CPU of by a factor 6
(Sheikh, D.L., Ramos, Nabben and Vuik, accepted for JCP).

## Numerical Results

## 2D Marmousi Problem <br> using 20 grid points per wavelength

| Frequency $f$ | Solve Time |  | Iterations |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PREC | DEF+PREC | PREC | DEF+PREC |
| 1 | 1.23 | 5.08 | 13 | 7 |
| 10 | 40.01 | 21.83 | 106 | 8 |
| 20 | 280.08 | 131.30 | 177 | 12 |
| 40 | 20232.6 | 3997.7 | 340 | 21 |

speedup in CPU of by a factor 5

## Conclusions

- Rigorous Fourier spectral analysis
- less iterations than shifted-Laplacian
- faster than shifted-Laplacian solver for sufficiently large problems

